

Thm 2.28 There is a unique sheafification functor $a: Psh(S) \rightarrow Sh(S)$ s.t. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \in Psh(S) & \xrightarrow{a} & Sh(S) \ni F_1, F_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Psh(S_m/S) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Sh(S_m/S) \end{array}$$

Proof: Suppose $F_1|_{S_m/S} = F_2|_{S_m/S} = (F|_{S_m/S})^+$, $s \in F_1(Y) = F_2(Y)$ and $\tau \in \text{Cor}_s(X, Y)$. We want to prove $F_1(\tau) = F_2(\tau)$. There is a Nis covering $p: U \rightarrow Y$ s.t. $s|_U = t^+$, where $t \in F(U)$. Consider the Cartesian square $T_U \rightarrow X \times U$, the T is a disjoint union of spec of Henselians ($X = \text{Spec } A$, A Henselian). $\downarrow \quad \downarrow$
 $\Gamma \rightarrow X \times Y$
 So the map $T_U \rightarrow T$ has a section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow T_U$. Dense by $D = \text{Im}(s) \in \text{Cor}_s(X, U)$.
 Then $p \circ D = T$. Then we see that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_2(X) \\ \uparrow F_1(p) & & \uparrow F_2(p) \\ F_1(U) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_2(U) \\ \uparrow F_1(p) & & \uparrow F_2(p) \\ F_1(Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_2(Y) \end{array}$$

only for s commutes. So $F_1(\tau) = F_2(\tau)$.

Now we want to make $(F|_{S_m/S})^+$ a sheaf with transfers. Suppose $y \in (F|_{S_m/S})^+(Y)$ and $y|_U = z^+$, where $p: U \rightarrow Y$ is a Nis covering and $z \in F(U)$. By shrinking U we may suppose that the z is sent to zero in $F(U \times_Y U)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(Y), (F|_{S_m/S})^+) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(U), (F|_{S_m/S})^+) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(U \times_Y U), (F|_{S_m/S})^+) \\ \uparrow [y] & & \uparrow z & & \uparrow \\ & & F(U) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F(U \times_Y U) \end{array}$$

So there is an element $[y]: \mathbb{Z}(Y) \rightarrow (F|_{S_m/S})^+$ s.t. $[y]|_U = z$. Then for every $f \in \text{Cor}_s(X, Y)$, define the transfer of y with f by the composite

$$\mathbb{Z}(X) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}(Y) \xrightarrow{[y]} (F|_{S_m/S})^+ \sim F(f)(Y) \in (F|_{S_m/S})^+(X). \square$$

Prop 2.29 Suppose $X \in Sm/k$ and $\{U_1, U_2\}$ is a Zariski covering of X . We have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(U_1 \cap U_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(U_1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(U_2) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}(X) \rightarrow 0. \quad (M\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}r\text{-sequence})$$

$a \mapsto (a, -a)$

Proof: The $U_1 \cup U_2$ is a Nisnevich covering of X . Applying Thm 2.27, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}(U_1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(U_1 \cap U_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(U_2) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}(U_1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(U_2) \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{Z}(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $d(x, y, a, b) = (a - y, y - a)$. So $\text{Im}(d) = \text{Im}(\mathbb{Z}(U_1 \cap U_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(U_1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(U_2))$. $\square$

Def 2.30 Define $S_{\bullet}$ as a category whose objects are $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{Hom}([n], [m]) = \{\text{non-decreasing map } [n] \rightarrow [m]\}$. For any category $\mathcal{C}$, a (co)simplicial object in $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $S_{\bullet}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $(S_{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathcal{C})$

Def 2.31 For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\Delta^n = \text{Spec } k[x_0, \dots, x_n] / (\sum_{i=0}^n x_i) - 1 = \mathbb{A}^n$.

$$\{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \mid \sum x_i = 1\}$$

The $\{\Delta^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a cosimplicial object in Sm/k . $f: [n] \rightarrow [m]$

$$\text{Hom}(f): \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^m$$

$$(x_i) \mapsto (y_j)$$

Def 2.32 (Simplicial complex) For any $F \in Psh(S)$, define a simplicial object in $Psh(S)$

$$(C, F)_n = F^{\Delta^n} \quad F^X(Y) = F(X \times_S Y)$$

It associates to a complex

$$(*F: \dots \rightarrow F^{\Delta^0} \xrightarrow{d_0} F^{\Delta^1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^{\Delta^1} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

with $d_n = \sum (-1)^i \partial_i$, $\partial_i: \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is the i -th face map.

In Thm 2.26, we showed that the cohomology dimension of Nis topology on X is just $\dim(X)$. So for every bounded above complex $(\mathcal{C}^*(Sh(S)))$, we could find an $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $H^n(X, \mathcal{I}) = 0$ if $n > 0$, b.m. Then we define $H^n(X, \mathcal{C}) = H^n(\mathcal{I}(X))$ as the n -th hypercohomology of $\mathcal{C}$ w.r.t. X . It is a standard argument to show that $H^n(X, \mathcal{C})$ is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{I}$.

Def 2.33 For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the motivic complex

$$\mathbb{Z}(q) = (*\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}_n^{q*})[-q])$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}_n^{q*}) = (\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}_n), 1)^{\otimes q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}(q)^i = (\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}_n^{q*})^{\otimes i})^{\otimes q-i}$ ($\mathbb{Z}(q) := 0, q < 0$). For any group A , we write $\mathbb{Z}(q) \otimes A$ as $A(q)$. $\mathbb{Z}(q)^i = 0$ if $i > q$.

For example, $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{qis} (*\mathbb{Z})$.

Def 2.34 For every $X \in Sm/k$, define

$$H^{p,q}(X, A) = H^p(X, A(q))$$

to be the motivic cohomology with coefficients in A .

Prop 2.35 For any $X \in Sm/k$, we have

$$H^{p,q}(X, A) = 0$$

if $p > \dim X + q$.

Proof: Using Lem 2.20, we obtain a spectral sequence

$$(H^s(X, H^t(A(q)))) \Rightarrow H^{s+t}(X, A(q)) = H^{s+t,q}(X, A).$$

If $p > \dim X + q$, either $t > q$ or $s > \dim X$. So $H^s(X, H^t(A(q))) = 0$ in any case. $\square$

§3 Milnor K-theory. $K\text{-Theory} \xrightarrow{AH} \text{Motivic cohomology}$

$\cup$

(n,n)-motivic cohomology $\begin{cases} = \text{Milnor K-theory over field} \\ \text{For general } X, \text{ Herstein's conjecture} \end{cases}$

Def 3.1 For any field F , define $K_*^M(F) = T(F^X) / \langle x \otimes (1-x) \rangle$ to be the Milnor K-theory of F , which is a graded associative algebra. Denote by $[x]$ the class of $x \in F^X$ in $K_*^M(F)$.

For example, $K_0^M(F) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1^M(F) = F^X$.

$$[x] + [y] = [xy]$$

Prop 3.2 1) $[x][y] + [y][x] = 0$ 2) $[x][x] = [x] \cdot [-1]$

Proof: 1) $[x][1-x] = [x] \cdot \left[\frac{1-x}{1-x} \right] = [x][1-x] + [x^{-1}][1-x^{-1}] = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } [x][y] + [y][x] &= [x][1-x] + [x][y] + [y][x] + [y][1-y] = [x][1-xy] + [y][1-xy] \\ &= [xy][1-xy] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) [x][x] = [x][1] + [x][1-x] = [x][1].$$

Prop 3.3. Let K be a field and v be a normalized discrete valuation on K . Let $k(v) = \mathcal{O}_v / \mathfrak{m}_v$ be the residue field. Then $\exists!$ homomorphism

$$\partial_v: K_*^M(K) \rightarrow K_{n-1}^M(k(v)) \quad f \mapsto \partial_v(f)$$

s.t. $\forall u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v^*$ and $x \in K^*$

$$\partial_v([x][u_1] \cdots [u_{n-1}]) = v(x) \cdot [\bar{u}_1] \cdots [\bar{u}_{n-1}] \quad v(f) = \text{ord}_v(f)$$

where $\bar{u}_i$ is the class of u_i in $k(v)^*$.

Proof: The uniqueness is clear. For the existence, choosing a uniformizer π , define a graded ring morphism

$$\partial_\pi: K_*^M(K) \rightarrow K_*^M(k(v))[\varepsilon] / (\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon[-1])$$

$$[\pi u] \mapsto [\bar{u}] + i \cdot \varepsilon$$

Then define by equation $\partial_\pi(z) = \partial_\pi(z) + \partial_v(z) \cdot \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\partial_\pi([\pi u][u_1] \cdots [u_{n-1}]) = ([\bar{u}] + i \cdot \varepsilon)[\bar{u}_1] \cdots [\bar{u}_{n-1}] = [\bar{u}][\bar{u}_1] \cdots [\bar{u}_{n-1}] + i \cdot [\varepsilon][\bar{u}_1] \cdots [\bar{u}_{n-1}] \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Thm 3.4 (Homotopy invariance) There is a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_*^M(k) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_*^M(k(\Gamma)) \xrightarrow{\partial \circ \tau} \bigoplus_{P \text{ prime}} K_{n-1}^M(k(\Gamma/P)) \rightarrow 0$$

Proof: We have $\partial \circ i = \text{id}$. Now we want to construct an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{P \text{ prime}} K_n^M(k(\Gamma/P)) \xrightarrow{\tau_{n,P}} K_{n+1}^M(k(\Gamma)) / K_{n+1}^M(k)$$

with inverse (∂_P) . We define $\tau_{n,P}$ inductively on the degree of P . Suppose $P = T - \lambda$. We define $\tau_{n,P}$ to be the composite

$$K_n^M(k(\Gamma/P)) \xrightarrow{\partial_P} K_n^M(k) \xrightarrow{[\Gamma]} K_{n+1}^M(k(\Gamma)) / K_{n+1}^M(k)$$

which maps $[f_1] \cdots [f_n]$ to $[P][f_1(\lambda)] \cdots [f_n(\lambda)]$, $f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[\Gamma]$.

$$\text{More over, } \partial_Q \circ \tau_{n,P} = \begin{cases} \text{id} & \text{if } Q = P \\ 0 & \text{if } Q \neq P \end{cases}$$

For general P and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[\Gamma]$ with $\deg(f_i) < \deg P$, we define

$$\tau_{n,P}([f_1] \cdots [f_n]) = [P][f_1] \cdots [f_n] - \sum_{\deg Q < \deg P} \tau_{n,Q}(\partial_Q([P][f_1] \cdots [f_n])).$$

One checks that it is well-defined and prove that it satisfies

$$1) \partial_Q \circ \tau_{n,P} = \begin{cases} \text{id} & Q = P \\ 0 & Q \neq P \end{cases} \quad 2) \sum_{\deg Q < \deg P} \tau_{n,Q}(\partial_Q(X)) = X \text{ if } X = \sum [f_1] \cdots [f_n] \text{ with } \deg f_i < \deg P \quad \square$$