

Similarly, from any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (over \mathbb{K} of char 0), you can get the "completion" $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}$ which is the group defined by $\text{Rep}_*(\mathfrak{g})$.
 ↪ completion type

R.h.: I guess that we recover the Lie group if \mathfrak{g} is good enough
 for example, take \mathfrak{g} f.d. simple Lie alg. over \mathbb{C} .

then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{red}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{alg}} = G$ Lie group ?

More examples of Hopf algebra (non-trivial one, i.e. non-commutative and non-cocommutative)

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ its universal enveloping
 (i.e. "largest" alg $A \supset \mathfrak{g}$ s.t. $\langle i(\mathfrak{g}) \rangle_{\text{alg}} = A$, and
 $i([a|b]) = [i(a), i(b)] := i(a)i(b) - i(b)i(a)$)

There is a Hopf alg. structure on $U(g)$, where Δ is given as follows:

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \quad \text{with } x \in i(g).$$

From now, we will identify $i(g)$ with g .

Moreover, $\boxed{\text{Rep}(U(g))}$ is a tensor cat.

$$\simeq \boxed{\text{Rep}(g)}.$$

(Rk: The universal enveloping "may" be defined as recovering $\text{Rep}(g)$ via "some" reconstruction theorem ...)

Def: An element x of a bialgebra H is called primitive if

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x.$$

Let $\text{Prim}(H)$ be the space of primitive elements.

Exercises: Show that: $(\text{Prim}(H), [-], \cdot)$ is a Lie algebra.

$$\bullet x \in \text{Prim}(H) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \epsilon(x) = 0 \\ S(x) = -x \quad (\text{if } \exists \text{ antipode}) \end{cases}$$

Prop: $\text{Prim}(U(g)) = g$, where g Lie alg. over \mathbb{K} of char 0.

Rh: Above Prop is false in general in $\text{char} > 0$.

Def: An element $g \in H$ is called group-like if
 $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$
Rh: the set of group-like elements forms a group.
(the notation comes from the fact that the Hopf adj. structure on $\mathbb{C}G$, with G group, is given by $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ in $\mathbb{C}G$)

Def: An element $x \in H$ is called skew-primitive if
 $\Delta(x) = g \otimes x + x \otimes h$ where g, h are group-like.

(A primitive element is skew-primitive with $g=h=1$ ($\Delta(1)=1 \otimes 1$)).

Example (Taft algebra) $H_q = \langle g, x \mid g^n=1, x^n=0, g \otimes g^{-1} = qx \rangle$

where q is a n th root of unit ($q^n=1$) and $n \geq 2$.

Then: $\dim(H_q) = n^2$

It has a Hopf alg structure with

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \Delta(x) = x \otimes g + 1 \otimes x$$

group-like

↑
skew-primitive

| It is not
(co)commutative
and with
antipode s.t.
 $S^2(x) = qx + x$.
So $S \neq \text{id}$.

Example: Hopf algebra structure on quantum group $U_q(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$.

Idea: We already know a Hopf algebra structure on universal enveloping $U(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$. Now, $U_q(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ is a "quantum deformation" defined with $q \in \mathbb{k}$, $q \neq 0, \pm 1$.

Recall that $\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ is generated by h, e, f s.t

$$[h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = 2f, [e, f] = h.$$

This leads to the following def. of $U_q(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$:

Def: For $q \in \mathbb{k}, q \neq 0, \pm 1$. The "quantum group" $U_q(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ is generated by E, F, K : $K E K^{-1} = q^2 E$, $K F K^{-1} = \bar{q}^2 F$, $[E, F] = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$, (K invertible)

Heuristically: $K = q^h$, so that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = h$

then, $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} U_q(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2}) = "U(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})"$ | this statement can be made precise ...; see the book of Kassel

That's why $U_q(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ is called quantum deformation of $U(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, by q .

→ you should think q as \hbar (Planck constant) in quantum physics.

when $\hbar \rightarrow 1$, quantum mechanics becomes classical mechanics.

Thm: $\exists!$ Hopf algebra structure on $U_q(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ with

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K \quad (\text{group-like})$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta(E) &= E \otimes K + I \otimes E \\ \Delta(F) &= F \otimes I + K^{-1} \otimes F \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (\text{skew-primitive})$$

$$\text{with } \epsilon(K) = 1, \epsilon(E) = \epsilon(F) = 0, \quad S(K) = K^{-1}, \quad S(E) = -EK^{-1}, \quad S(F) = -KF$$

Assume that q is a ℓ th root of unit with $\ell \geq 3$.

Let $U_q(\underline{sl_2})$ be the quotient of $U_q(sl_2)$ by relations:

$$E^\ell = F^\ell = K^\ell - 1 = 0.$$

It inherits Hopf algebra structure.

It is called small quantum group attached to $\underline{sl_2}$

I guess that E, K generated a Taft subalgebra of $U_q(\underline{sl_2})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k(U_q(\underline{sl_2})) \\ = \ell^3 \end{aligned}$$

Example/Remark: Above constructions from $\underline{sl_2}$ can be generalized to every finite dim. simple Lie alg. \mathfrak{g} , using its Cartan matrix \rightarrow denoted $U_q(\mathfrak{g}), \dots, U_q(\mathfrak{g}), \dots$

finite tensor categories and Reconstruction theorem for quasi-Hopf algebras.

Rmk: Above previous reconstruction theorem: you should not think that every finite tensor cat. \mathcal{C} admits a (quasi)-fiber functor. We will see that if it exists then the FPdim of simple objects are exactly the dims of the corresponding irr. Rep. of a (quasi)-Hopf alg.

In particular, if \exists simple object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ s.t. $\text{FPdim}(X) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. (which is the generic case) then \mathcal{C} cannot have a (quasi)-fiber functor.

In other words, most of the (finite) tensor categories have no (quasi)-fiber functor.

Now, the reconstruction theorem will mentioned before involved a fiber functor. By relaxing to quasi-fiber functor, we can get the (new) notion of quasi-bialgebra, quasi-Hopf algebra.

One interesting application of this new notion is the following:
(in the semi-simple case, this means $\text{Rep}(X) \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{semi-simple}}$)

Prop: A finite tensor cat. \mathcal{C} is integral iff \mathcal{G} is equivalent to the representation cat. of a finite dim. quasi-Hopf alg.

Rh: In the previous reconstruction thm, all the finite tensor cat are integral.
(most of the finite tensor cat. are not integral).

Rh: in the case of the Prop, a quasi-fiber functor always exist, and two are twist equiv, leading to a reconstruction thm w/o mention of a quasi-fiber functor. (In the integral, f.d. case).

See you later ...