

$s \xleftarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} T$
 $\varphi: (P, f) \xrightarrow{\cong} (Q, g)$
 $(\varphi^*, \varphi_*): Sh(S) \rightarrow Sh(T)$ φ^* takes acyclic projective complexes
 φ_* to acyclic projective complexes

Prop 5.12 We have an exact functor

$$\begin{aligned} L\varphi^*: D^-(S) &\rightarrow D^-(T) \\ k &\mapsto P\varphi_k \end{aligned}$$

where $P \rightarrow k$ is a projective resolution.

Proof: By Prop 5.11, the class of projective complexes is adapted (see [GM03, II 6.3]) to the functor φ^* . We apply [GM03, IV 6.6] to conclude. ^{Beltz, Marin}

In the sequel, we write φ^* in place of $L\varphi^*$ for convenience.

Prop 5.13. 1) The category $D^-(S)$ is endowed with a tensor product defined by

$$\otimes_S: D^-(S) \times D^-(S) \rightarrow D^-(S)$$

$$(k, l) \mapsto P\otimes_Q k$$

where P, Q are projective resolutions of k, l , respectively and $P\otimes_Q k = \text{Tot}(\{P \otimes_Q k_i\})$. Moreover, for any $k \in D^-(S)$, the functor $k \otimes_S -$ is exact.

2) Suppose that $f: S \rightarrow T$ is smooth. Then there is an exact functor

$$\begin{aligned} f\# : D^-(S) &\rightarrow D^-(T) \\ k &\mapsto f\# k \end{aligned}$$

where $P \rightarrow k$ is a projective resolution.

3) Suppose that $f: S \rightarrow T$ is in Sm/k . Then there is an exact functor

$$\begin{aligned} f^*: D^-(T) &\rightarrow D^-(S) \\ k &\mapsto f^* k \end{aligned}$$

where $P \rightarrow k$ is a projective resolution.

Proof: 1) Let $Y \in \text{Sm}/S$. We take φ to be $S \xleftarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} T$, so $\varphi^* F = P\# P^* F = P\# (P^* F \otimes_Y^{\varphi^*})$. Given an acyclic projective complex P and a projective sheaf F , the complex $P \otimes_Q k$ is also acyclic by Prop 5.11. So for any projective complex k , the complex $P \otimes_Q k$ is also acyclic by $\varphi^* F \otimes_Y^{\varphi^*} (Y)$. Spectral sequence of the double complex $\{P \otimes_Q k_i\}$. Then for any projective complexes $P, Q \in R$ with a quasi-isomorphism $a: P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(a\# R) &= \underset{\sim}{C(a)} \otimes_Q R \\ P\otimes_Q R &\rightarrow Q\otimes_Q R \end{aligned}$$

and the latter is acyclic. Here $C(a)^i = \text{cone}(a^i)$ is the mapping cone of a . So $a\# R$ is also a quasi-isomorphism.

2) Take $(Y, S, T) = (S, S, T)$ and apply Prop 5.12.

3) Take $(Y, S, T) = (T, S, T)$. \square

Prop 5.14. Let $f: S \rightarrow T$ be a smooth morphism in Sm/k . We have an adjoint pair

$$f\#: D^-(S) \rightleftarrows D^-(T): f^*$$

Proof: We have an adjunction

$$f\#: K^-(S) \rightleftarrows K^-(T). f^*$$

Simply by the adjunction between sheaves. Since f^* has both left and right adjoints, it is exact so $Lf^* = f^*$. Suppose that $k \in D^-(S)$, $l \in D^-(T)$ and that $P: P \rightarrow k$ is a projective resolution. We construct a morphism

$$\theta: \text{Hom}_{D^-(S)}(f\# k, l) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D^-(T)}(k, f^* l)$$

as follows. Suppose that $s \in \text{Hom}_{D^-(S)}(f\# k, l)$ is written as a right roof

$$s = \begin{array}{c} \nearrow f^* l \\ f\# k \xrightarrow{a} \begin{matrix} \nearrow R \\ \searrow L \end{matrix} \end{array}$$

We define $\theta(s)$ to be the map

$$K \subset P \xrightarrow{f^* R} L$$

The $f^* R$ is a quasi-iso since f is exact.

Next, we construct a morphism

$$\varsigma: \text{Hom}_{D^-(T)}(k, f^* l) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D^-(S)}(f\# k, l).$$

Suppose that $t \in \text{Hom}_{D^-(T)}(k, f^* l)$ and $t \circ p$ is written as a left roof

$$t \begin{array}{c} \nearrow R \\ \searrow f^* l \end{array}$$

where R could be taken projective. Define $\varsigma(t)$ as

$$f\# P \xrightarrow{f^* R} L$$

by Prop 5.13. One checks that θ, ς are inverse to each other. \square

For theory on $D(S)$, refer to Beilinson's paper = stable and local homological algebra

Now let us get the homotopy relation $X \times A^1 \sim X$ involved.

Def 5.15. An $F \in \text{PSH}(S)$ is called homotopy invariant if for every $X \in \text{Sm}/S$, the map $F(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(X \times A^1)$ ($i: X \times A^1 \rightarrow X$) is an isomorphism.

Since P has a section, P^* is split injective. So F is b.i. $\Rightarrow P^*$ is surjective.

Lem 5.16 F is homotopy invariant iff $\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i^*} X \times A^1 \\ i^* = i^*: F(X \times A^1) \rightarrow F(X) \end{array}$ for all X .

Proof: We only have to show the sufficiency. Denote by $m: A^1 \times A^1 \rightarrow A^1$ the multiplication map. We have a commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(X \times A^1) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & F(X) & & \\ \downarrow (id_X \times m)^* & & \downarrow p^* & & \\ F(X \times A^1) & \xleftarrow{(id_X, 1 \circ id_{A^1})^*} & F(X \times A^1) & \xrightarrow{(id_X, 0 \circ id_{A^1})^*} & F(X \times A^1) \end{array}$$

But $(id_X, 1 \circ id_{A^1})^* = (id_X, 0 \circ id_{A^1})^*$, so $p^* i^* = id$. \square

Lem 5.17 For any $F \in \text{PSH}(S)$, the maps $i_0^*, i_1^*: ((\ast F)(X \times A^1)) \rightarrow ((\ast F)(X))$ are chain homotopic.

Proof: For any $i = 0, \dots, n$, define $\theta_i: \Delta^{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta^n \times A^1$ $\xrightarrow{\cong} F(\Delta^n \times A^1) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(\Delta^n)$ $\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{spec } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]/(x_0 + \dots + x_n)$

Each θ_i induces a map $h_i = F(id_X \times \theta_i): F(\Delta^n \times A^1) \rightarrow F(\Delta^n)$. Then the same proof (like Prism decomposition as in topology that $s_n = \sum (-1)^i h_i$) is a chain homotopy from i_0^* to i_1^* . \square

Prop 5.18 For any $F \in \text{PSH}(S)$, the homology presheaves $H_n((\ast F)): X \mapsto H_n((\ast F)(X))$ are homotopy invariant.

Proof: By Lem 5.17, i_0^*, i_1^* of $H_n((\ast F))$ are equal. So we conclude by Lem 5.16. \square

Def 5.19 A full additive subcategory \mathcal{D} of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} is called thick if

1) Let $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ be a distinguished triangle. Then if two out of A, B, C are in \mathcal{D} so is the third.

2) If $A \oplus B \in \mathcal{D}$, then $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$.

Def Let \mathcal{C} be a category and $S \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a class of maps. We say that S is left localizing if:

(right \sqsubset) $\{f \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is left localizing if $\{g \in \mathcal{C}\}$ whenever $f \circ g \in S$.

(left \sqsupset) Any $X \rightarrow Y$ can be completed to $X \rightarrow Y$ with a right roof $\begin{array}{c} \nearrow R \\ \searrow L \end{array}$.

3). $X \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} Y$, $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$, then $\exists \begin{array}{c} \nearrow R \\ \searrow L \end{array}$ s.t. $\begin{array}{c} \nearrow R \\ \searrow L \end{array} \circ f = \text{id}_X$.

Def 5.20 Let \mathcal{D} be a thick subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Define $W_{\mathcal{D}}$ to be those maps whose mapping cone are in \mathcal{D} . Then $W_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a left and right localizing system. Consider the category $\mathcal{C}[W_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}]$ with objects being those of \mathcal{C} , morphisms being left or right roofs. Then $\mathcal{C}[W_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}]$ is a triangulated category.

\mathcal{C} is another triangulated cat and $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an exact functor with $F(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$. Then $\exists ! \mathcal{C}[W_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}$ s.t.

$$F(\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}[W_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}]$$

and $\mathcal{C} \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}[W_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}]$.

Proof: See [GM03, IV.2 Ex 4]. \square

Def 5.21 Define \mathcal{C}_A to be the smallest thick subcategory in $D^-(S)$ s.t.

1) The cone of $Z(X \times A^1) \rightarrow Z(X)$ is in \mathcal{C}_A for every $X \in \text{Sm}/S$.

2). \mathcal{C}_A is closed under any direct sum that exists in $D^-(S)$.

We say that $f \in D^-(S)$ is an A^1 -weak equivalence if $f \in W_{\mathcal{C}_A}$. Define

$$DM^{A^1, -}(S) = D^-(S)[W_{\mathcal{C}_A}^{-1}]$$

to be the category of effective motives over S .

Lem 5.22 The smallest class in $D^-(S)$ which contain all the $Z(X)$ and is closed under quasi-isomorphisms, direct sums, shifts and cones is all of $D^-(S)$.

Proof: First we show that for any complex D , if all D_n are in the class, then so is D . If $B_n D$ is the truncation $\cdots \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow D_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$ of D , then

$D = \lim_n B_n D$. We have a distinguished triangle

$$D_n \rightarrow B_n D \rightarrow B_{n-1} D \rightarrow D_{n-1}$$

$\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0$.

So each $B_n D$ belongs to the class. Moreover, since there is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow B_n D \rightarrow B_{n-1} D \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$$

it follows that D is in the class.

Finally, for each sheaf F , there is a free resolution $L \rightarrow F$, we

$$\rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\cong} Z(L) \rightarrow K \rightarrow Z(F) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{include})$$